

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/080,674                        | 02/25/2002                | Chikara Imaizumi     | 01306.000075        | 8177             |
| 5514                              | 7590 08/19/2003           |                      | ·                   |                  |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO |                           |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 30 ROCKEFE<br>NEW YORK,           | ELLER PLAZA<br>, NY 10112 |                      | LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN |                  |
|                                   |                           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                   |                           |                      | 2852                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | ion  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| 055 4   | 10/080,674   | IMAIZUMI ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Susan S. Lee   | 2852   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin<br>oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T   | his action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.   | Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are objected to.   | Claim(s) 9-18 is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | ,<br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documen   | ts have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   | ts have been received in Applicati   | on No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Palent and Trademark Office  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2852

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on page 25, line 2, "the present invention"; line 3, "comprising"; line 7, "means"; line 8, "means"; line 9, "means"; and line 11, "means" (both occurrences) should be avoided. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Objections

Claims 9 and 11-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to claim 9, lines 5-6, "the speed detection means" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 11, line 10, "the rotary body" is unclear. Which one is this referring

to?

As to claim 11, line 11, "the driving roller" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 12, line 4, "the first prescribed integer count" lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,674

Art Unit: 2852

As to claim 12, line 6, "a second prescribed integral count" is unclear because there is a previous recited "second prescribed integral count" in claim 11. Is this the same integral count or a different one?

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oguri et al. (6,409,576).

Oguri et al. discloses a rotary body 1 and a sensor 20 aligned to a detecting position. The sensor 20 has light-emitting element 21 and a light-detecting element 22. The rotary body 1 has a notch 1a. When notch 1a is not in the detecting position, the light emitted from the light-emitting element 21 is blocked by the outer edge of rotary body 1. When notch 1a passes through the detecting position, the light emitted from the light-emitting element 21 travels through the notch 1a to the light-detecting element 22, and the sensor 20 converts the detected light into an electrical signal that is transmitted

Art Unit: 2852

to the controller 24. The controller 24 then determines the rotational speed of the rotary body 1 from the electrical signal transmitted by the sensor 20. Note column 7, lines 11-25.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 and 11-18 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Oku, Castelli et al., Hosaka et al., and Okuno et al. disclose art in driving belts. Miyasakata et al. and Nagano discloses art in detecting speed of rotating bodies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan S. Lee whose telephone number is 703-308-2138. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 10:30-8:00, Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Art Grimley can be reached on 703-308-1373. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,674

Art Unit: 2852

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Susan S. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852

sl August 9, 2003